Foci: Interviews with Ten International Curators
by Carol Lee Thea
foreword
barry schwabsky 8
introduction
carolee thea 12

interviews
harald szeemann 16
hou hanru 28
yuko hasegawa 42
vasif kortun 54
mária hlavajová 66
rosa martínez 78
hans-ulrich obrist 88
dan cameron 100
barbara london 112
kasper könig 124

biographies 136
barbara london
12/2000
Generations of artists have employed new technologies as part of the ongoing experimentation with the tools of artistic production. Andy Warhol, who frequently used an overhead projector, claimed that he wanted to paint like a machine. Refracting instruments, the camera obscura/lucida, and the microscope-inspired artists of the 16th and 17th centuries, and now images made with digitally-based pixels produced by an electronic paint box are replacing negatives, celluloid, or tapes. As a curator of video at MoMA, you have been involved with new media for many years.

Yes, I started out when video was the cutting edge. As technology evolved and as artists continued to work with the latest tools, I continued to incorporate the "new" into my curatorial purview, my thinking, and my practice.

Tell me first about how you became involved in media.

Originally, when I did my graduate work at the Institute of Fine Arts of NYU, I studied Islamic art, interested in the trade route between China and the Near East. I left graduate school and went to Europe. When I returned, I took a job at The Museum of Modern Art in our International Program, which still circulates exhibitions abroad and liaisons internationally with other museums. I assisted an important young MoMA curator of the 70s, Jennifer Licht, who organized an up-to-the-minute exhibition with such artists as Robert Morris, Richard Serra, and Lynda Benglis. I assembled the videotape section of that show, which the Museum sent to Australia. Then Riva Castleman offered me a curatorial position in the Department of Prints and Illustrated Books; at the same time, the NEA gave the Museum a grant to buy its first video equipment. I picked up the "hot potato" of video and simultaneously started the Museum's artists'-book collection. Video and books are relatively inexpensive multiples and have the potential of easily reaching interested audiences.

Recently, I had a conversation with Paul Pfeiffer, who had made a similar switch of mediums. He studied printmaking at the San Francisco Art Institute. Later, when he was teaching at Parsons School of Art and Design, he taught himself how to work with the computer because his students wanted to learn.

It makes sense that these two forms of reproduction—the electronic and the print multiple—would converge in your career.

For the first few years, the Museum's ongoing video exhibition program was under the umbrella of our contemporary exhibition program,
"Projects." Back then I hung out at places like Castelli-Sonnabend Tapes and Films, the Kitchen, and the Anthology Film Archives, going to all of their video screenings, having coffee afterwards with people like Nam June Paik, Shigeko Kubota, who was Anthology’s video curator, Juan Downey, and others. Eventually I migrated over to the Museum’s Film Department, which became the Department of Film and Video. Contemporary art is as much about concepts as materials, and artists use whatever medium suits their ideas. In the 1970s, the sculptor Richard Serra began working with film and video, almost to get himself "out of a corner" he was in.

Let’s talk about the effect of the new media on the perception and structure of time. As one of the commissioners for Media_City Seoul 2000, you wrote a catalogue essay on the nature of time in video and quoted Gary Hill. "Video" he said, "allowed a certain real-time play, the possibility of thinking out loud; a process immediately accessible and more parallel to thinking. Time is what is essential to video."²

In dealing with the durational sense of time, an artist like Bruce Nauman would work in his studio alone, and repeat dance-like movements in front of the camera for the length of the tape, which back in 1969 meant either thirty or sixty minutes. At the beginning, Nauman, Peter Campus, and other artists examined the complexities of time that resulted from the interplay between the viewer, the live camera, and pre-recorded video material. Time could be speeded up, slowed down, frozen, and otherwise mangled within a context that allowed active viewer participation. In the installation form, video extends both space and time. Film cannot do this. What you see in film has happened in the past.

The early videomaker Ed Emshwiller would talk about time as "stuff." He became frustrated as a painter, because he wanted his viewers to experience the progressive changes he had made to the surface of his canvases. He found that the only way he could do this was to make computer-based video animations. Back then it was a different ball game: Computers were analogue, large, and ultra-expensive.

In some of Gary Hill’s installations, time feels tangible. In Hill’s Inasmuch as It Is Always Already Taking Place [1990], a figure is broken apart. The actual body parts are contained as short loops on 16 TV screens installed on a shelf. The body can never be still, and neither can time. They quiver.

Yes, his work involves the body in interesting ways.

The body takes us to Joan Jonas and Lynda Benglis, who were very
important in defining that gray zone between video and performance. They worked with their own bodies in front of a live camera, checking their image on an adjacent monitor. In her first videotape, *Organic Honey* [1973], Jonas worked with masks to represent various alter egos.

More recently, in her tape *Host* [1997], Kristin Lucas talks to an ATM machine that talks back. Here the CPU of Lucas' inner being didn't do so well with a power outage. Lucas' alter ego complains that she doesn't feel well. There are other artists, too, like Teiji Furuhashi, who deals with the body by projecting images with which the audience interacts.

Now, due to the speed at which information travels, one has a vertiginous sense of being thrust forward and a fear of being left behind. In the beginning, artists questioned time in relation to the body, and now, with the digital propellant, artists reflect their anxiety connected to that speed.

It's still very early in the development of "new media"; there have not really been major breakthroughs, which will probably come out of left field. First there was the Gutenberg printing press. Cervantes' "novel," *Don Quixote*, appeared around 150 years after the Gutenberg Bible.

Comparing today's digital sense of time to the days of the Gutenberg Bible and Don Quixote is poetic, but with the speed of information having increased considerably since then, another reflex takes over. Paul Pfeiffer's work is a beautiful example of the physical anxiety produced by this speed. His work, *John 3:16* [2000], is both psychologically and corporally related to the tools of the medium.

Yes, that work is in the collection. Paul selected close-up shots of basketballs from off-the-air footage of professional games. In the computer he laboriously removed the players, keeping only the close-ups of the basketballs, which hover in space. The five thousand images of basketballs, shown on a flat screen jutting out from the wall in the position of a hoop, question the god-like quality of the sports star, the game, the mass media, and the medium of video. The title comes from the Bible: "For God so loved the world...."

MoMA has always had an interest in new media. Tell me about the 1968 *Machine show*.

The full title of the show was *The Machine as Seen at the End of the Mechanical Age*, and it was curated by Pontus Hulten. The show began with Leonardo's drawings for his flying machine, then segued to Pierre Jacquet-Droz's automaton of a young writer of the 18th century, and on to computer prints made at Bell Labs through Experiments in Art and Technology.³ The show concluded with Nam June Paik's video portrait
of former New York Mayor John Lindsay, appropriated from a televised press conference. Paik presented the tape in the gallery on a jerry-rigged tape-loop device. Videocassettes were nonexistent back then.

In 1968, Paik, the Korean-born Fluxus artist, bought one of the first Sony Portapak video sets in New York and immediately turned the camera on the Papal entourage. His original works were like documentaries and coincided with McLuhan’s theories, but they were purely art-oriented.

After the Machine show, Kynaston McShine organized Information, a show that looked at cybernetics and information theories. He included a number of videotapes by artists from Latin America.

Did “Projects” evolve out of necessity to accommodate new artistic emergences?

Yes. The Museum launched the “Projects” contemporary exhibition series in 1971 with Keith Sonnier’s video installation. Viewers walked into a small room with a lowered ceiling. Actually, they had to duck to get in. Able to stand up only in the middle of this room, there they saw a camera directed at them. After they exited and entered the adjacent space, they saw the live projected image of viewers just standing up in the center of the space next door.

This seems like an early “surveillance” work. What were some of the other ideas that artists worked with?

I organized another “Projects” show with Peter Campus’ installation, Aen [1974]. When viewers entered this installation, they found a totally dark room. A tiny spotlight was located along the base of the far wall. When they went to investigate what the spotlight was, their live image suddenly appeared flat on the wall, upside down and in a stark manner. An unobtrusive camera captured the live image. It was like coming face-to-face with a bad dream.

In your recent curatorial work, you seem to be most interested in the concept of time. Which artist has influenced you the most in this context?

Probably Nam June Paik’s TV Buddha [1974], which is like a koan about time. The viewer thinks, “Is the Buddha looking at his own image on the futuristic monitor in front of him, or is it a recorded image?” The only way viewers can tell is by putting their hand over the camera and seeing that it is live. Nam June thought a lot about different issues regarding time in video.

Surveillance is another interesting issue. Often used for control inside Eastern Europe—or in Deleuze’s term, “the control society”—it has become an aesthetic device for many underground artists and presently
is used by mainstream video artists. Many of these videos are Warholian, in that they refer to the tedium of the everyday.

In the 1970s and 1980s, artists explored surveillance. Julia Scher went to town with this idea. In *Video Time*, our survey collection show in MoMA 2000 this year, we presented a feature-length videotape by the German artist Michael Klier. *The Giant* [1982-1983] is recorded in black-and-white directly from in-situ surveillance cameras. The giant of the title is the surveillance camera, that ubiquitous "big brother." It includes sequences of a rainy airplane runway, traffic jams, shoplifters, and mansion gates. Seen this way, the city of Hamburg becomes a science fiction nightmare.

I recently spoke with a cameraman who said that digital technology is the cheapest and easiest to use and will replace film. Consumer audio/video equipment is nearly all digital now. We can't forget that film, analogue, and digital video each have distinct characteristics as mediums and they aren't going away yet. Artists use each of them for different reasons. The British artist Steve McQueen favors the look of analogue video. When I showed his installation *Deadpan* [1998] in "Projects," I obtained a digital projector with the luminance he wanted. But Steve could not abide the pixilated effect of a digital projector. For his video installations, he tends to favor a softer, film look.

When choosing new work by artists, do you have to understand what images they have in their minds?

Getting to this level of understanding takes time. It all begins with a file folder with an artist's name on the label. Inside go bios, magazine articles, slides, my notes. I gather more information. From there, my interest could result in putting the work in a group show.

At the moment, I'm working on a Web site, called *TimeStream*, with Tony Oursler. The project is about how the moving image transmuted from medium to medium throughout history; now it is co-opting the hard drive. Tony tracks the evolution of virtual technologies and how they reflect popular belief systems. Web denizens can discover ways that radio, television, and now the computer have been used to communicate with the "spirit world." Connections can be made between points along a timeline to explore how technologies such as the camera obscura, influence machine, Leyden jar, magic-lantern theater, kaleidoscope, telegraph, and X-ray are precursors of today's Web art, cell phones, and surveillance cameras the size of vitamin pills. The Web artist Eric Rosevear is designing the site.

Is Tony working with Eric as he would with a master printmaker?
Definitely, Eric is a great guide because he is a talented artist and understands the Web.

New media demands different kinds of exhibition spaces. It was once common to think that the "black box" would replace the "white box." Now in Open Ends, your show Video/Performance is housed in a naturally lit space with windows and tables and chairs like carrels in a library; everything is placed at different levels and sizes. This is like a departure from the spectacular ways of viewing video. Bill Viola's work takes over a whole blackened arena, and Doug Aitken needs an ambulatory space where the viewer is able to move through corridors. Other installations require a huge, darkened space. In the 1999 Venice Biennale at the Corderie, videos by many different artists were projected on walls, floors, and ceiling, encouraging viewer interaction.

I'm tired of the black box or a dark room, so with the Video/Performance show, I experimented. At one end I have a visual "stack," so at a glance the viewer can get an overview of the eight works in the show. The same eight tapes are each presented on monitors at individual kiosks, where viewers can have a one-on-one relationship with a particular tape. They sit down and put on a pair of headphones and can become immersed in a tape, which they can watch from end to end.

Video/Performance came out of thinking about how video and performance art have shaped each other. Video and performance emerged and forged their individual identities as art forms in the 1960s and 70s. Often the two forms were used in tandem. Artists enthusiastically integrated the spontaneity of a one-time action with the stimulation of a new medium, especially one that included the possibility of "instant replay." In Organic Honey, Joan Jonas comes up with an idea, does a performance, and changes it using the video as a working tool.

Instead of the petrified model, architects, directors, and curators are recognizing the museum as a new discursive arena to accommodate cultural change, expanding audiences and new technologies. Examples are the Kanazawa Museum in Japan or the Palais de Tokyo in Paris. Will MoMA take this opportunity to reckon with these issues?

MoMA's new building is expected to open late in 2004, so in the meantime we have an exciting exploratory phase. We will have a variety of spaces. Some of our works will be installed thematically, not just in a gallery for video. This is what our MoMA 2000: Open Ends series of shows was about. In the new building, Teiji Furuhashi and others will be shown in a multi-functional context. The walls will be wired, and we'll
have certain experimental spaces for the newer technologies. We also have our Web site not only for PR and our store, but for experimental work, like the Oursler Web site we’re commissioning. We’re not locking into particular technology now for the new building, since many new developments will happen before we open in 2004. We will remain in touch with Sony and other technological friends, open to systems just coming down the pike. In our new building, we will have state-of-the-art research centers with primary reference materials and, in most cases, actual works—film, video, prints, drawings, etc. In the galleries there will be cafés with kiosks and books, rest areas, and pods where you can watch a video or a DVD or whatever the next thing is.

Over the next four years we will all be exploring new ways of installing in our new space, MoMA QNS, in Long Island City. It isn’t as big as our current exhibition spaces in Manhattan, but we’ll try things out there and in other satellite areas. The MoMA Web site will evolve so that our public from around the world will access different aspects of the collection and see new commissioned Web works. I imagine we’ll stream artists’ videos, as well.

Like a virtual museum?
It will evolve so that you can visit MoMA from anywhere in the world, as long as you can connect.

What about digital interiors, where the content is fleshed out by viewers who complete the story?
That sounds like the people who were working with hypertext from the early 1990s. A lot still has to be worked out with what the user/viewer wants. There are many couch potatoes, and few who want to mouse around.

And billboards, video, and signage?
There are unbelievable opportunities. When I was in Seoul for the biennial, we placed artists’ videos on many of the electronic billboards that light up the major intersections with flashing ads. In the near future, video will cover the entire skin of a building.

Like Nasdaq in Times Square?
Yes, and more so. I’ve talked with people in the construction world and there appear to be new ways of putting moving images on the skin of a building, more like a contiguous pattern.

This is where the digital world and architecture come together.
When the Museum of Modern Art in Frankfurt was planning its new building, Jean-Christophe Ammann, the Director, commissioned a new
installation by Bill Viola. The costs of making Bill’s work came out of building construction expenses, rather than the museum’s acquisitions budget. Is it in your purview as a curator to request these changes?

Yes. When I began my curatorial work in the early 1970s, video attracted me because it was on the cutting edge. Today, the new kid on the block is digital art and I still have the urge to be on the cutting edge.
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